



香港的投訴警察制度 Police Complaints System in Hong Kong

香港的投訴警察制度是由兩層架構組成, 所有投訴警察的個案,均交由香港警務處 轄下的投訴警察課處理及調查,此為投訴 警察制度的第一層。

待投訴警察課完成投訴調查後,便會把須 匯報投訴的調查報告,連同所有調查的相 關檔案、文件及材料,提交予獨立監察警 方處理投訴委員會(監警會)審核。

監警會在審核調查報告及相關資料時,如察覺有疑點,會要求投訴警察課澄清或提供更多資料;如發現有不足之處,可要求該課重新調查。監警會在完全同意投訴個案處理得當後,才會通過調查結果,此為投訴警察制度的第二層。

Hong Kong has adopted a two-tier police complaints system. All complaints against the police are referred to the Complaints Against Police Office (CAPO) of the Hong Kong Police Force for handling and investigation. This is the first tier of the police complaints system.

After CAPO has completed the investigation of a Reportable Complaint, it will submit the investigation report, together with relevant files, documents and materials, to the Independent Police Complaints Council (IPCC) for scrutiny.

If any doubt arises in the course of reviewing the investigation reports and the relevant materials, the IPCC will ask CAPO for clarification or further information. If the IPCC finds the investigation inadequate, it will request that the case be further investigated. Only when the IPCC completely agrees that the complaint case has been properly handled will it endorse the investigation report. This is the second tier of the police complaints system.



香港投訴警察制度的兩層架構

兩層架構的優點是確保投訴警察個案可以 得到公平公正的處理。監警會作為獨立法 定機構,可以客觀地觀察、監察和覆檢警 務處處長對須匯報投訴的處理和調查,並 向警務處處長和行政長官提供與須匯報投 訴有關的意見和建議。

Hong Kong's two-tier police complaints system

The advantage of the two-tier system is that it ensures fair and just handling of complaints against the police. As an independent statutory body, the IPCC can objectively observe, monitor and review the handling and investigation of Reportable Complaints by the Commissioner of Police, and put forward opinions and recommendations regarding such complaints to the Commissioner of Police and the Chief Executive.

1

投訴警察課 調查投訴個案 CAPO investigates complaints 投訴警察課接收須匯報投訴 CAPO receives Reportable Complaints

> 進行調查 Investigations

投訴警察課提交調查報告 CAPO submits investigation reports

2

監警會審核 調查報告 IPCC reviews investigation reports 監警會審核調查報告
IPCC reviews investigation reports

通過調查結果 Agrees with investigation results

- 投訴警察課回覆投訴人
- 警方向被投訴人員採取適當行動
- 監警會就檢討及改善警隊工作常規和 程序向警務處處長和/或行政長官 提出建議
- CAPO responds to complainant
- Police take appropriate action against complainee
- IPCC may offer recommendations to the Commissioner of Police and/or the Chief Executive on improvements to police practices and procedures

不同意調查結果 Disagrees with investigation results

- 向投訴警察課要求澄清或 提供更多資料
- IPCC seeks clarification or further information from CAPO

不接納報告 Rejects reports

- 可要求投訴警察課重新調查
- 可會見證人澄清疑點
- 可提交工作層面會議或聯席會議討論
- May request CAPO to reinvestigate complaint
- May interview witnesses to clarify uncertainties
- May bring up the case during Working Level Meetings or Joint IPCC/CAPO Meetings

監警會的角色和職能 The Role and Functions of the IPCC

監警會是於2009年6月1日根據《獨立監察警方處理投訴委員會條例》(簡稱《監警會條例》)(香港法例第604章)成立的法定機構,其職能是觀察、監察和覆檢警務處處長就須匯報投訴的處理和調查工作。隨著《監警會條例》生效,警方有法定責任遵從監警會根據條例所提出的要求。條例確保監警會的獨立性,以履行其監察職能。

監警會由一名主席、三名副主席和不少於 八名委員組成,委員全部由行政長官委 任,分別來自社會不同界別。監警會借助 委員多方面的專業知識及社會經驗,獨 立、公正、透徹地監察投訴警察課的調查 工作。截至2022年3月31日,監警會共 有23名委員。 The IPCC was established as a statutory body on 1 June 2009 under the Independent Police Complaints Council Ordinance (IPCCO) (Cap. 604, Laws of Hong Kong) to observe, monitor and review the Commissioner of Police's handling and investigation of Reportable Complaints against the police. With the IPCCO taking effect, the police have statutory duty to comply with the IPCC's requests. The Ordinance ensures the independence of the IPCC in carrying out its monitoring functions.

The IPCC comprises a Chairman, three Vice-Chairmen and not fewer than eight Members, who are all appointed by the Chief Executive. This composition enables the IPCC to draw upon the diverse expertise and experience of its Members to monitor CAPO's investigation of complaints against the police in an independent, impartial and thorough manner. As of 31 March 2022, the IPCC comprises 23 Members.



《監警會條例》賦予監警會的主要職能如下:

The main functions of the IPCC under the IPCCO are:

- 觀察、監察和覆檢警務處處長處理和調查須匯報投訴的工作 To observe, monitor and review the handling and investigation of Reportable Complaints by the Commissioner of Police
- 監察警務處處長已經或將會向與須匯報投訴有關的警務人員採取的行動

To monitor actions taken or to be taken in respect of any police officer by the Commissioner of Police in connection with Reportable Complaints

3 找出警隊工作常規或程序中引致或可能引致須匯報投訴的缺失 或不足之處

To identify any fault or deficiency in police practices or procedures that has led to or might lead to Reportable Complaints

4 向警務處處長和/或行政長官提供與須匯報投訴有關的意見 和/或建議

To advise the Commissioner of Police and/or the Chief Executive of its opinions and/or recommendations in connection with Reportable Complaints

加強公眾對監警會的角色的認識
To promote public awareness of the role of the Council

監警會的監察程序

Monitoring Procedures of the IPCC

在投訴警察制度的兩層架構下,當監警會 收到投訴警察課呈交的須匯報投訴調查 告後,會交由秘書處進行初步審核 調查報告向投訴警察課提出質詢及要求 課澄清或提供更多資料。若秘書處對調 報告沒有質詢,便會把調查報告提到 警會委員審核。如有需要,委員可作層 提出質詢,並與投訴警察課召開工作層 會議或聯席會議討論。

Under the two-tier police complaints system, after an investigation report of a Reportable Complaint is submitted by CAPO to the IPCC, the Secretariat will conduct a preliminary examination on it and may raise Queries and ask for clarification or further information from CAPO. If the Secretariat has no Query, the report will be submitted to Members for scrutiny. Members can make further Queries and discuss the case with CAPO at Working Level Meetings or Joint Meetings if necessary.


監警會亦設有觀察員計劃(詳情請參閱第21頁),以提升監察作用,確保在投訴警察課調查期間就投訴進行的會面及搜證工作公平、公正。另外,監警會可就個別情況進行會面,會見投訴人、被投訴人、證人等,協助委員了解投訴事宜及澄清疑點,兩項權力均能讓監警會更有效履行法定職能。

如監警會最終未能與投訴警察課達成共識 而決定不通過某宗投訴個案的調查結果, 可向行政長官報告和/或向公眾披露雙方 對調查結果的意見分歧。 The IPCC also administers an Observers Scheme (please refer to page 21 for details) to enhance its monitoring function and ensure that interviews and collection of evidence are conducted by CAPO in a fair and impartial manner during the investigation process. In addition, the IPCC may decide on a case-by-case basis to interview complainants, complainees, witnesses or other persons concerned with a view to assisting Members in understanding the complaints and clarifying any matter in doubt. Both powers enable the IPCC to perform its statutory functions more effectively.

If the IPCC's final decision is not to endorse the investigation results of a particular case, it may disclose the disagreement of both parties on the findings of the investigation to the Chief Executive and/or the public.

註: 監警會觀察員可出席投訴警察課就調查須匯報投訴而進行的會面和 證據收集工作。

Note: IPCC Observers may attend interviews and observe the collection of evidence in connection with CAPO's investigation of Reportable Complaints.



監警會的會面 IPCC Interview

除了審核調查報告外,監警會亦可要求與 個案相關人士會面,以澄清事項。監警會 可以會見任何能夠就調查報告向監警會提 供資料或其他協助的人士,包括投訴人、 被投訴人、證人或其他獨立人士等。

In addition to reviewing investigation reports, the IPCC may ask for interviews with persons related to the case to clarify matters. The IPCC may interview any person who may provide relevant information or assistance, including complainants, complainees, witnesses, or other independent persons.



觀察員計劃 Observers Scheme

觀察員計劃旨在加強監警會的監察職能。 在這計劃下,由保安局局長委任的觀察員 可出席投訴警察課就調查須匯報投訴而進 行的會面和證據收集工作。監警會委員同 樣可進行觀察。

投訴警察課會盡量在會面或證據收集行動 前至少48小時通知監警會,以便監警會秘 書處知會觀察員有關安排。除了預先安排 的會面和證據收集工作外,觀察員亦可以 在未經預約的情況下,出席和觀察投訴警 察課的上述工作。

觀察員的角色是觀察和匯報,基於公平公 正的原則,在觀察期間,觀察員不會作出 任何干預或發表個人意見,以免影響會面 或證據收集。

在觀察完畢後,觀察員須向監警會報告會 面或證據收集的工作是否公平公正地進 行,若觀察員發現當中有任何不當之處, 監警會便會與投訴警察課跟進。

所有就須匯報投訴與投訴警察課會面的人士,均可要求觀察員出席有關會面。倘若 監警會接到這些要求,定當盡力安排。 The Observers Scheme aims to strengthen the IPCC's monitoring function. Under the Scheme, Observers appointed by the Secretary for Security may attend interviews and observe the collection of evidence pertinent to CAPO's investigation of Reportable Complaints. IPCC Members can likewise conduct such observation.

Insofar as practicable, CAPO will notify the IPCC at least 48 hours in advance of any impending interview or collection of evidence. The IPCC Secretariat will then notify Observers of the observation. Apart from pre-arranged observation, Observers can also attend and observe investigations by CAPO without making prior appointment.

The role of Observers is primarily to observe and report. The Observer is to remain fair and impartial without interfering or offering personal opinions while observing the conduct of interviews or collection of evidence.

After each observation, the Observer will submit to the IPCC a report stating whether the interview or collection of evidence has been conducted in a fair and impartial manner. Should any irregularity be reported, the IPCC will follow up with CAPO.

All persons who are to be interviewed by CAPO in connection with Reportable Complaint can request an Observer to be present during the interview. Upon receipt of such request, the IPCC will make every effort to arrange accordingly.

觀察員 OBSERVERS

截至2022年3月31日, 監警會共有120名觀察員。

As of 31 March 2022, there are 120 IPCC Observers.



觀察員的委任

《監警會條例》第33條

監警會觀察員是由保安局局長委任。為確保觀察員的中立角色,以下人士均**不會**被委任為觀察員:


- 1. 在政府政策局或部門擔任受薪職位(不 論屬長設或臨時性質)的人士
- 2. 監警會秘書長、法律顧問或任何其他僱員
- 3. 曾屬警隊成員的人士

Appointment of Observers

Section 33 of IPCCO

The IPCC Observers are appointed by the Secretary for Security. To ensure their impartiality, the following persons are **NOT** eligible for appointment as Observers:

- 1. A person who holds an office of emolument, whether permanent or temporary, in a Government bureau or department
- 2. The Secretary-General, the Legal Adviser or any other employee of the Council
- 3. A former member of the Police Force



監警會和投訴警察課的聯席會議 Joint Meetings: IPCC and CAPO

監警會和投訴警察課會定期舉行季度聯席 會議,討論投訴警察的相關事宜。

為了讓公眾更了解監警會的工作,聯席會議設有公開部分讓市民及傳媒旁聽。聯席會議的日期和議程會在開會前於監警會的網頁公布,公開部分會議的會議紀錄亦會上載至監警會網站(www.ipcc.gov.hk)。

The IPCC and CAPO conduct quarterly Joint Meetings to discuss matters relating to police complaints.

To enable the public to better understand the work of the IPCC, part of each Joint Meeting is open to the public and the media. The dates and agendas of the Joint Meetings are published in advance on the IPCC website. Minutes of the open part of each meeting are also uploaded to the IPCC website (www.ipcc.gov.hk).



須匯報投訴和須知會投訴 Reportable Complaints and Notifiable Complaints

須匯報投訴

「須匯報投訴」是指市民就當值的警務人員或表明是警隊成員的休班人員的行為所作出的投訴。這些投訴必須由直接受警務人員行為影響的人士(或其代表)真誠地作出,而且並非瑣屑無聊或無理取鬧的投訴。

投訴警察課必須按條例規定,提交須匯報 投訴的調查報告予監警會審核。不過,下 列投訴個案的調查報告和資料則無須提交 監警會:


- 純粹關乎發出傳票或施加定額罰款通知 書是否有效而引致的投訴
- 投訴人以自己作為警務人員的身份作出 的投訴
- 屬於其他法定機構調查範圍內的投訴

Reportable Complaints

"Reportable Complaints" refer to complaints lodged by members of the public that are not vexatious or frivolous and are made in good faith, and are pertinent to the conduct of police officers while on duty or who identify themselves as police officers while off duty. The complaint should be made by or on behalf of a person directly affected by the police misconduct.

CAPO must submit investigation reports to the IPCC for scrutiny pursuant to the Ordinance. However, investigation reports and information of the following complaints need not be submitted to the IPCC:

- Complaints arising from the issue of summons or imposition of fixed penalty, which solely relate to the validity of the issue
- Complaints lodged by a person in his official capacity as a member of the police force
- Complaints that fall under the scope of investigation of other statutory bodies



須知會投訴

凡不屬「須匯報投訴」,亦非第24頁所述無 須提交監警會的投訴,一律歸類為「須知 會投訴」。例如:由匿名人士作出的投訴, 或由並非直接受影響的人士作出的投訴。

投訴警察課須定期提交「須知會投訴」的個 案撮要予監警會審核。若監警會認為某宗 投訴應歸類為「須匯報投訴」,可向投訴警 察課作出相應的建議,投訴警察課便須重 新考慮該宗投訴的歸類。此外,監警會可 要求投訴警察課提供某宗投訴歸類的解釋 及資料。

Notifiable Complaints

"Notifiable Complaints" are complaints not categorised as "Reportable Complaints", or complaints that need not be submitted to the IPCC as listed on page 24. These include anonymous complaints or complaints lodged by persons who are not directly affected by the case.

CAPO must regularly submit a summary of "Notifiable Complaints" to the IPCC for scrutiny. If the IPCC considers any of these cases to be "Reportable Complaints", the IPCC may raise with CAPO, and CAPO will need to reconsider the categorisation of the complaint. Moreover, the IPCC may request CAPO to submit further explanation or supporting information in relation to the categorisation of any particular complaint.



調查結果分類 Classification of Investigation Results

一宗投訴可涉及一項或多於一項的指控。 指控經投訴警察課全面調查後,會根據調 查結果分類為下列六項之一: A complaint may consist of one or more allegations. After CAPO has conducted a full and thorough investigation into an allegation, it will be classified as one of the following six types according to the findings:

1 獲證明屬實

如投訴人提出的指控有足夠的可靠證據支持,指控會被 列為「獲證明屬實」。

2 未經舉報 但證明屬實 如在投訴人提出的原有指控以外,發現其他與投訴本身 有密切關係和對調查有重要影響的事宜,並且證明屬實, 則該事宜會被列為「未經舉報但證明屬實」。

無法完全證明屬實

如投訴人的指控有若干可靠的證據支持,但這些證據未 能充分證明投訴屬實,指控會被列為「無法完全證明屬 實」。

/ 無法證實

如投訴人的指控沒有充分的證據支持,指控會被列為「無 法證實」。

5 並無過錯

如指控是因為對事實有誤解或出於誤會而作出,或有足夠的可靠證據顯示,有關警務人員所採取的行動在當時的情況下是公平、合理、出於真誠或符合警察規例及命令,指控會被列為「並無過錯」。

広 虚假不確

如有足夠的可靠證據顯示投訴人的指控並不真確,不論 這些指控是懷有惡意的投訴,抑或不含惡意但亦非基於 真確理由而提出的投訴,指控會被列為「虛假不確」。

當一宗投訴被列為「虛假不確」時,投訴警察課會視乎情況,徵詢律政司的意見,考慮控告投訴人故意誤導警務 人員。

Substantiated

An allegation is classified as "Substantiated" when there is sufficient reliable evidence to support the allegation made by the complainant.

Substantiated Other Than Reported

An allegation is classified as "Substantiated Other Than Reported" when matters other than the original allegations raised by the complainant, which are closely associated with the complaint and have a major impact on the investigation, have been discovered and are found to be substantiated.

Not Fully Substantiated

An allegation is classified as "Not Fully Substantiated" when there is some reliable evidence to support the allegation made by the complainant, but is insufficient to fully substantiate the complaint.

Unsubstantiated

An allegation is classified as "Unsubstantiated" when there is insufficient evidence to support the allegation made by the complainant.

No Fault

An allegation is classified as "No Fault" where the allegation is made either because of misinterpretation of the facts or misunderstanding; or when there is sufficient reliable evidence showing that the actions of the officer concerned were fair and reasonable in the circumstances, done in good faith or conformed to police regulations and orders.

False

An allegation is classified as "False" when there is sufficient reliable evidence to indicate that the allegation made by the complainant is untrue, be it a complaint with clear malicious intent, or a complaint which is not based upon genuine conviction or sincere belief but with no element of malice.

When a complaint is classified as "False", CAPO will consider, in consultation with the Department of Justice, whether to prosecute the complainant for knowingly or wilfully misleading a police officer.

其他投訴分類 Other Complaint Classifications

有些投訴是透過其他方法處理,無需進行 全面調查。這些投訴的分類為: Some complaints are handled by other means so that full investigation is not necessary. These complaints can be classified as:

1

投訴撤回

「投訴撤回」是指投訴人不打算追究。

即使投訴人撤回投訴,監警會仍會審視個案,確保投訴人沒有受到任何不恰當的影響而撤回投訴,以及警方能從合適的個案中汲取教訓,並確保投訴警察課採取相應的補救行動。

此外,投訴人如撤回投訴,其個案亦不一定被列為「投訴撤回」。監警會 及投訴警察課會審閱所得證據,決定是否需要進行全面調查,並根據所 得資料,考慮任何一項指控是否屬實。

2

無法追查

在下述情況下,指控會被列為「無法追查」:

- 不能確定被投訴的警務人員的身份
- 未能取得投訴人的合作,以致無法繼續追查

上述定義並不表示若果投訴人未能確定被投訴人的身份,投訴警察課便不會採取進一步行動。投訴警察課會根據所得資料,盡量追查被投訴人的身份;只有追查不果時,才會作出未能確定被投訴人身份的結論。

假如投訴人拒絕合作以致投訴被列為「無法追查」,警方可在投訴人願意 提供所需資料時,重新展開調查。

3

終止調查

「終止調查」是指有關投訴已由投訴警察課備案,但鑑於特殊情況(例如 證實投訴人精神有問題)而獲投訴及內部調查科總警司授權終止調查。

4

透過簡便 方式解決

「透過簡便方式解決投訴」旨在迅速解決一些性質輕微的投訴,例如態度 欠佳或粗言穢語的指控。

適宜透過簡便方式解決的輕微投訴,不會有全面調查。投訴會由一名總督察或以上職級的人員處理,並擔任調解角色,向投訴人及被投訴人了解實情。如果他認為事件適宜透過簡便方式解決而又得到投訴人同意,有關投訴便可循此途徑解決。

Withdrawn

A complaint is classified as "Withdrawn" when the complainant does not wish to pursue the complaint after making it.

Even when a complainant initiates the withdrawal of a complaint, the IPCC will ensure that no undue influence has been exerted on the complainant, and that the police can learn from the complaint. The IPCC will also ensure that CAPO will take appropriate remedial actions.

A complainant's withdrawal does not necessarily result in the case being classified as "Withdrawn". The IPCC and CAPO will examine the evidence available to ascertain whether full investigation is warranted despite the withdrawal and/or whether any of the allegations are substantiated on the basis of information available.

Not Pursuable

An allegation is classified as "Not Pursuable" when:

- The identity of the officer in the complaint cannot be ascertained
- The cooperation of the complainant cannot be obtained to proceed with the investigation

The above definition does not mean that no further action will be taken when the complainant cannot identify the complainee. CAPO will make every effort to identify the complainee(s) on the basis of the information available. Only after such effort fails will the conclusion be reached that the identity of the complainee cannot be ascertained.

If a complaint has been classified as "Not Pursuable" due to lack of cooperation from the complainant, it may be reactivated later when the complainant comes forward to provide the necessary information.

Curtailed

A complaint is classified as "Curtailed" when it has been registered with CAPO but is curtailed — i.e. not fully investigated — on the authorisation of the Chief Superintendent (Complaints and Internal Investigations Branch), owing to special circumstances such as known mental condition of the complainant.

Informally Resolved

The "Informal Resolution Scheme" aims at speedy resolution of minor complaints, such as allegations of impoliteness or use of offensive language, the nature of which is considered relatively minor.

A minor complaint suitable for Informal Resolution will not be subject to full investigation. Instead, a senior officer of at least the rank of Chief Inspector of Police will act as Conciliating Officer and make separate enquiries with the complainant and the complainee regarding the facts of the complaint. If the Conciliating Officer is satisfied that the matter is suitable for Informal Resolution and the complainant agrees, the complaint will be informally resolved.

監警會歷史 History of the IPCC

1974

行政立法兩局非官守議員警方 投訴事宜常務小組

行政立法兩局非官守議員警方投訴事宜常 務小組自成立以來,所監察的投訴個案數 目急劇上升,工作量大幅增加,顯示有加 強及擴展這個監察架構的需要。政府因此 在1984年初成立工作小組,專責檢討行 政立法兩局非官守議員警方投訴事宜常務 小組對投訴警察課所進行的監察工作。

UMELCO Police Group

The establishment of the IPCC can be traced back to 1974 when the Commissioner of Police set up CAPO to investigate police complaints from the public. Having considered that the investigations should involve an independent body, the Commissioner of Police invited the sub-committee of the Unofficial Members of the Executive and Legislative Councils (UMELCO), which was responsible for handling police and security matters, to monitor CAPO complaint investigations in 1977. This was the prototype of the two-tier police complaints system. In 1978, the UMELCO Police Group presented its first report on police complaints to the then Governor (reporting period from 1 September 1977 to 30 April 1978), which was then submitted to the Legislative Council for review on 16 August 1978. From then on, the UMELCO Police Group prepared an annual progress report.

After the UMELCO Police Group was established, there was a drastic increase in the number of police complaints which required its monitoring. The heavy workload indicated that there was a need to strengthen and broaden the structure of the monitoring system. Consequently, the Government set up a working group to review the UMELCO Police Group's monitoring of CAPO in early 1984.

1986

投訴警方事宜監察委員會

1986年,政府在審慎研究過工作小組的建議後,由當時的總督把行政立法兩局非官守議員警方投訴事宜常務小組,改組為一個獨立的投訴警方事宜監察委員會。根據重組計劃,在委員會成員中加入太平紳士,以及成立一個輔助秘書處,並命名為投訴警方事宜監察委員會。

Police Complaints Committee

In 1986, after the Government meticulously reviewed the working group's recommendations, the then Governor restructured the UMELCO Police Group and set up an independent police complaints monitoring committee, comprising Justices of the Peace as members and a supporting secretariat. It was also renamed as the Police Complaints Committee.

1994

投訴警方獨立監察委員會 (警監會 — 成為獨立法定機構前)

1994年12月,投訴警方事宜監察委員會改稱為投訴警方獨立監察委員會(警監會),以新名稱反映其獨立地位,並著手策劃把委員會轉變為一個獨立的法定組織,以便更清楚訂明委員會的權力和職能。

1996年7月,把警監會轉變為法定組織的條例草案提交立法局,該立法建議清楚界定警監會的權力和職能,以鞏固其在處理有關警員的投訴方面所擔當的角色,讓市民更加認識警監會的獨立監察職能。

由於前立法會議員在委員會審議階段所提出的部分修訂建議會為當時的投訴警察制度帶來根本性的改變,因此,政府在1997年6月23日的立法會會議上撤回條例草案。

Independent Police Complaints Council — before becoming a statutory body

In December 1994, the Committee was further revamped to pave the way for an independent statutory body with specific powers and functions. Both the English and Chinese names of the Council were modified to better reflect its independence.

A Bill with proposals for transforming the then IPCC into a statutory body was introduced to the Legislative Council in July 1996. The Bill proposed clarifying the powers and functions of the then IPCC in order to reinforce its authority in handling police complaints and enhance public awareness of the Council as an independent monitoring entity.

As some Legislative Council Members raised a number of Committee Stage Amendments that might bring fundamental changes to the police complaints system, the Bill was withdrawn by the Government on 23 June 1997.

2004-2009

獨立監察警方處理投訴委員會 (監警會 — 成為獨立法定機構後)

自2004年開始,政府再次計劃為警監會 的運作模式賦予法律依據,以提高公眾對 兩層架構投訴警察制度的信心,《投訴警方 獨立監察委員會條例草案》於2007年6月 29日刊憲,並於7月11日提交立法會首讀。

立法會於2008年7月通過《監警會條例》, 保安局局長其後指定2009年6月1日為《監 警會條例》的生效日期。投訴警方獨立監察 委員會(警監會)改稱為獨立監察警方處理 投訴委員會(監警會),以強調其獨立監察 職能。監警會於《監警會條例》生效同日成 為法定機構。

Independent Police Complaints Council — after becoming a statutory body

In 2004, the Government relaunched its plan to transform the then IPCC into a statutory body with a view to empowering it with a legal basis to discharge its functions and raise public confidence in the two-tier police complaints system. On 29 June 2007, the Independent Police Complaints Council Bill was gazetted. The Bill was tabled at the Legislative Council on 11 July 2007 for First Reading.

The Legislative Council passed the IPCCO in July 2008. The Secretary for Security then decided that the IPCCO would come into effect on 1 June 2009 and the IPCC become a statutory body on the same date. The Chinese name of the Council was modified to highlight its monitoring role while the English name was retained.