

CAPO was requested to clarify on the following:-

Footbridge Closure & Pedestrian Clearance

- i. Justifications for the Police to completely close the footbridges under which the motorcade of VP would pass as part of the security arrangements;
- ii. Justifications for the Police to clear pedestrians along VP's motorcade routes;
- iii. Confirmation as to whether or not similar measures were implemented in previous visits of political dignitaries;

Setting Up of DPA

- iv. Justifications for confining reporters to provide news coverage at a DPA;
- v. Rationale for setting up the DPAs far away from the Building and the Hotel;
- vi. Confirmation as to whether or not similar measures were implemented in previous visits of political dignitaries;

Setting Up of DPAA

- vii. Justifications for confining protestors / members of the public to protest at a DPAA;
- viii. Justifications and rationale for the Police to set up 3 DPAA's in Wanchai at locations not within sight of the Hotel;
- ix. Justifications and rationale for the Police to set up for the VP's visit to CGC only one DPAA, which was located outside CITIC Tower opposite to the eastern side of CGC, when eventually the VP entered CGC on the western side, making the protestors unable to see the VP;

- x. Justifications for setting up the Security Zone for CGC which extended beyond the Chinese People's Liberation Army Forces Hong Kong Building in Central, rendering it impracticable to set up a DPAA outside the western side of CGC;
- xi. Justifications for the Police to remove by force the male (COM-13) from the vicinity of Block 26 at Laguna City; and
- xii. Justifications for the Police to remove by force the 2 protestors in Case 11 and Case 15 (COM-11 and COM-15) who refused to go to the DPAA, but insisted to go to the Hotel which was designated by the Police as the Core Security Zone.